European Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development
Volume- 46 December- 2025
Website: www.ejird.journalspark.org ISSN (E): 2720-5746

THE IMPORTANCE OF ASSESSING QUALITY OF LIFE IN PATIENTS WITH NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASES

Rasulova Nilufar Farxadovna
Associate Professor Department No. 2 of Public Health and
Healthcare Management Tashkent State Medical University

Mukhamedova Nigora Saydimuxtarovna
Associate Professor Department No. 2 of Public Health and
Healthcare Management Tashkent State Medical University

Abstract

Non-communicable diseases are a leading cause of long-term morbidity and disability worldwide, significantly influencing patients' physical, psychological, and social well-being. In recent years, the assessment of quality of life has become an essential component of comprehensive healthcare evaluation, complementing traditional clinical and laboratory indicators. Quality of life reflects the patient's subjective perception of health status, functional capacity, emotional stability, and social participation. This article emphasizes the importance of studying and assessing quality of life in patients with non-communicable diseases, highlighting its role in patient-centered care, treatment effectiveness evaluation, and long-term disease management. Systematic quality of life assessment enables healthcare professionals to better understand disease burden, optimize therapeutic strategies, and improve overall health outcomes.

Keywords. Non-communicable diseases, quality of life assessment, chronic disease, patient-centered care, health outcomes.

Introduction

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) represent one of the most significant challenges to global health in the twenty-first century. Conditions such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, chronic respiratory disorders, and oncological diseases account for the majority of premature mortality and long-term disability worldwide. Unlike acute illnesses, non-communicable diseases are characterized by prolonged duration, gradual progression, and the need for continuous medical care and lifestyle adaptation.

Traditionally, the evaluation of non-communicable diseases has focused on clinical parameters, laboratory findings, and disease-specific outcomes such as morbidity and mortality rates. Although these indicators are essential for diagnosis and treatment monitoring, they do not fully reflect the broader impact of chronic diseases on patients' daily lives. Many individuals with NCDs experience persistent symptoms, functional limitations, emotional distress, and social restrictions that cannot be adequately captured by conventional biomedical measures alone.

In this context, the concept of quality of life has gained increasing importance in modern healthcare. Quality of life assessment provides a multidimensional perspective on health by incorporating physical functioning, psychological well-being, social interactions, and overall life satisfaction. It reflects how patients perceive and adapt to their health condition, treatment burden, and long-term prognosis.

The growing emphasis on patient-centered care has further highlighted the need to integrate quality of life assessment into routine clinical practice. Understanding patients' subjective experiences allows healthcare professionals to tailor therapeutic strategies, improve treatment adherence, and enhance communication between patients and providers. Moreover, quality of life indicators are increasingly used in public health research and health policy planning to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions and allocate healthcare resources more efficiently.

Therefore, studying and assessing quality of life in patients with non-communicable diseases is essential for achieving a holistic understanding of disease burden and improving long-term health outcomes.

Materials and Methods

This study is based on a comprehensive analytical review of contemporary scientific literature focused on the assessment of quality of life in patients with non-communicable diseases. Relevant articles were identified through international scientific databases, including peer-reviewed journals in the fields of public health, clinical medicine, and health psychology. Priority was given to studies published in recent years that addressed quality of life assessment in chronic disease populations.

Quality of life was evaluated using widely recognized and validated assessment tools applied in patients with non-communicable diseases. These instruments included both generic and disease-specific questionnaires designed to measure multiple dimensions of quality of life, such as physical functioning, emotional well-being, social activity, and role limitations. The selection of assessment tools was based on their reliability, validity, and applicability to diverse patient populations.

The methodological approach emphasized a multidimensional evaluation of quality of life, integrating subjective patient-reported outcomes with clinical characteristics of chronic diseases. Comparative analysis was conducted to identify common trends in quality of life impairment across different non-communicable diseases and to determine the most affected domains of daily functioning.

Data from the selected studies were systematically analyzed to assess the relationship between disease severity, duration, treatment burden, and quality of life outcomes. Particular attention was paid to the role of psychosocial factors, including emotional stress, social support, and lifestyle modifications, in shaping patients' perceptions of their health status.

This methodological framework allows for a comprehensive understanding of the importance of quality-of-life assessment in non-communicable diseases and provides a foundation for integrating quality of life indicators into routine clinical practice and health policy decision-making.

Results

The analysis of the reviewed studies demonstrated that non-communicable diseases have a substantial and consistent negative impact on patients' quality of life across multiple domains. The most affected dimensions were physical functioning, emotional well-being, and social participation. Patients with long-standing chronic conditions reported significant limitations in daily activities, reduced work capacity, and persistent fatigue, which directly influenced their overall quality of life scores.

A clear association was observed between disease severity and quality of life impairment. Patients with advanced stages of non-communicable diseases exhibited markedly lower quality of life indicators compared to those with early or well-controlled conditions. Increased symptom burden, frequent hospitalizations, and the need for continuous medication were identified as key factors contributing to diminished physical and psychological well-being.

Psychological aspects, including anxiety, depression, and emotional distress, were commonly reported among patients with chronic diseases. These factors showed a strong correlation with reduced quality of life, regardless of the specific type of non-communicable disease. Social factors, such as reduced interpersonal interaction and limited participation in community life, further aggravated the decline in perceived health status.

The results also indicated that patients receiving comprehensive, patient-centered care—characterized by regular monitoring, psychosocial support, and individualized treatment plans—demonstrated relatively higher quality of life scores. This finding highlights the importance of integrating quality of life assessment into routine clinical practice to identify vulnerable patient groups and optimize long-term disease management strategies.

Overall, the findings confirm that quality of life assessment provides essential information beyond traditional clinical indicators and serves as a valuable outcome measure in the evaluation and management of non-communicable diseases.

Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that non-communicable diseases exert a profound and multidimensional impact on patients' quality of life. The pronounced impairment observed in physical functioning, psychological well-being, and social participation is consistent with existing evidence indicating that chronic diseases extend beyond biological pathology and significantly affect everyday life experiences.

The high level of physical limitation identified in the results can be attributed to persistent symptoms, reduced mobility, and long-term treatment burden commonly associated with non-communicable diseases. These limitations often restrict patients' ability to perform daily activities and maintain occupational productivity, thereby negatively influencing overall life satisfaction. Similar trends have been reported in studies focusing on cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and chronic respiratory disorders, where physical impairment remains a dominant determinant of reduced quality of life.

Psychological distress emerged as another critical factor influencing quality of life outcomes. Anxiety, depression, and emotional instability were strongly associated with lower quality of life scores, regardless of disease type. This finding highlights the bidirectional relationship between chronic illness and mental health, where disease-related stress exacerbates psychological symptoms, which in turn further diminish perceived health status. The results emphasize the need for integrating psychological assessment and support into standard chronic disease management.

Social functioning was also moderately to severely affected, reflecting reduced participation in social activities and weakened interpersonal relationships. Chronic illness often leads to social isolation, either due to physical limitations or emotional withdrawal, which can further amplify psychological distress and reduce coping capacity. These social dimensions are frequently underestimated in clinical practice, yet they play a crucial role in patients' overall well-being.

Importantly, the results demonstrated that patients receiving patient-centered care showed relatively better quality of life outcomes. This finding supports the growing emphasis on holistic healthcare models that combine medical treatment with psychosocial support and individualized care planning. The incorporation of quality of life assessment tools enables healthcare providers to identify specific areas of impairment and tailor interventions accordingly.

Overall, the discussion underscores that quality of life assessment is not merely an auxiliary outcome measure but a fundamental component of comprehensive care for patients with non-communicable diseases. By systematically evaluating quality of life, clinicians and policymakers can gain deeper insights into disease burden, improve therapeutic strategies, and enhance long-term health outcomes.

Conclusion

The assessment of quality of life plays a crucial role in the comprehensive evaluation and management of non-communicable diseases. The findings of this study demonstrate that chronic conditions significantly impair multiple dimensions of patients' lives, including physical functioning, psychological well-being, and social participation. These effects cannot be fully captured by traditional clinical and laboratory indicators alone.

Integrating quality of life assessment into routine clinical practice provides valuable insights into patients' subjective experiences, treatment burden, and long-term adaptation to chronic illness. Such an approach supports patient-centered care by enabling healthcare professionals to tailor therapeutic strategies according to individual needs and priorities. Moreover, systematic quality of life evaluation facilitates early identification of vulnerable patient groups and enhances the effectiveness of multidisciplinary interventions.

From a broader perspective, quality of life indicators serve as important tools for public health planning and health policy development. Their use allows for more accurate assessment of healthcare outcomes and contributes to the optimization of resource allocation in the management of non-communicable diseases.

In conclusion, quality of life assessment should be regarded as an essential component of chronic disease care. Its routine application has the potential to improve clinical decision-making, enhance patient satisfaction, and ultimately promote better long-term health outcomes in individuals living with non-communicable diseases.

References

1. World Health Organization. (2014). Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2014. World Health Organization.
2. World Health Organization. (2020). WHO guidelines on the management of chronic diseases. World Health Organization.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022). Health-related quality of life (HRQOL): Concepts and measures. CDC.
4. Guyatt, G. H., Feeny, D. H., & Patrick, D. L. (1993). Measuring health-related quality of life. Annals of Internal Medicine, 118(8), 622–629. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-118-8-199304150-00009
5. Testa, M. A., & Simonson, D. C. (1996). Assessment of quality-of-life outcomes. The New England Journal of Medicine, 334(13), 835–840. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199603283341306
6. Wilson, I. B., & Cleary, P. D. (1995). Linking clinical variables with health-related quality of life. JAMA, 273(1), 59–65. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1995.03520250075037
7. Skevington, S. M., Lotfy, M., & O'Connell, K. A. (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. Quality of Life Research, 13(2), 299–310. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:QURE.0000018486.91360.00
8. Fortin, M., Bravo, G., Hudon, C., Vanasse, A., & Lapointe, L. (2005). Prevalence of multimorbidity among adults seen in family practice. Annals of Family Medicine, 3(3), 223–228. https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.272
9. Engel, G. L. (1977). The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine. Science, 196(4286), 129–136. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.847460
10. Stewart, A. L., & Ware, J. E. (1992). Measuring functioning and well-being: The Medical Outcomes Study approach. Duke University Press.
11. NF Rasulova, GA Jalilova, NS Mukhamedova Prevention of important non-communicable diseases among the population. Евразийский журнал медицинских и естественных наук 3 (1 Part 2), 2123.