European Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Development
Volume- 43 September- 2025
Website: www.ejird.journalspark.org ISSN (E): 2720-5746

MONETARY UNION IN AFRICA: WHO GAINS, LOSES, AND WHY?

Aladejebi, Babatunde Emmanuel1,
Mojekwu Ogechukwu Rita 2
Barisua Fortune Nwinee3
1,2,3 Department of Finance and Banking,
Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Port Harcourt
Corresponding Author: rita.mojekwu@uniport.edu,ng

Abstract

This research investigated the advantages and detractors of implementing a common currency in Africa, analysing critical elements like economic convergence, political and institutional readiness, and regional commercial integration. The results indicated that high-performing countries, like South Africa and Nigeria, would likely get the most advantages from a monetary union due to their varied markets, stable political systems, and robust institutional frameworks. In contrast, weak economies heavily dependent on natural resources, like Angola and Chad, may find it challenging to sustain stability under a common currency system, owing to their susceptibility to external economic shocks and insufficient diversification. Moreover, nations with deficient governance frameworks or those susceptible to political instability may have substantial obstacles in complying with the collective policies necessary for a successful monetary union. The study highlighted the significance of economic convergence, institutional capacity, and political cohesiveness for the success of the union, drawing on worldwide experiences from previous monetary unions, such the European Monetary Union (EMU) and the West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU). The research determines that the advantages of a monetary union will be inequitably allocated, with some nations benefiting more than others owing to their varying degrees of economic growth and institutional preparedness. Based on these results, the research advocates for the formulation of explicit economic convergence criteria, the encouragement of economic diversification in resource-dependent nations, and the building of robust political and institutional frameworks to facilitate regional integration. A progressive approach to integration, including incremental economic coordination and policy alignment, is recommended to avoid possible dangers and assure the long-term viability of a single currency throughout Africa.

Keywords: Integration, Regional, Financial, Trade, Shocks.

Introduction

With monetary union being a main goal for promoting economic convergence, stability, and growth, the drive for regional integration in Africa has deepened over the years. Usually under the jurisdiction of one central bank, a monetary union is an agreement among two or more nations to share a common currency and a coordinated monetary policy (Mundell, 1961). Projects like the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) single currency scheme and the African Monetary Union (AMU) suggested within the African Union (AU) framework show Africa's desire for monetary union. Proponents claim a monetary union may lower transaction costs, eliminate exchange rate fluctuation, and increase intra-regional commerce. But the practicality and fair advantages of such integration are still debatable, hence important issues regarding who would win or lose and why are generated.

Supporters of monetary union in Africa claim it would improve regional commerce and promote macroeconomic stability by eliminating currency conversion expenses and exchange rate uncertainty (Masson & Pattillo, 2005). The legitimacy of a stronger regional currency may help countries with smaller, less stable currencies, which might result in reduced inflation and interest rates. Furthermore, by pooling monetary sovereignty, member countries might enhance their negotiating strength on the world economic scene. Smaller or poorer countries that may find stability and investor confidence by harmonizing with more stable economies find these advantages especially appealing.

On the other hand, monetary unions call for notable sacrifices, including the loss of autonomous monetary policy. For nations with different economic frameworks and business cycles, this is particularly concerning. Member states would find it difficult to react to uneven shocks without the power to devalue their currencies or change interest rates on their own (Bénassy-Quéré & Coupet, 2005). In Africa, where countries differ greatly in size, resource reliance, and fiscal ability, this limitation can worsen current inequities. For instance, although smaller or less diverse economies could struggle under the uniform monetary system, more varied and economically powerful nations like Nigeria or South Africa might gain more from the shared currency.

The Eurozone experience is both a source of motivation and a warning. Although it shows the possibility of monetary unions to foster integration and development, it also emphasizes the dangers of inadequate fiscal coordination and structural variation across member countries (De Grauwe, 2018). Weak institutional frameworks, political unrest, and little intra-African commerce in the African setting create further questions regarding the preparedness and durability of a monetary union. Therefore, the degree of economic convergence, political will, and institutional readiness of the member nations will mostly determine the viability of any monetary union in Africa.

The quest for a monetary union in Africa signifies a crucial achievement in the continent's overarching objectives for economic integration and sustainable development. Despite extensive discussions and numerous regional initiatives—particularly the proposed ECOWAS single currency (ECO) and the African Monetary Union as part of the African Union's Agenda 2063—the achievement of a unified African currency remains predominantly aspirational (Debrun et al., 2011; African Union, 2020). The theoretical advantages of monetary integration are extensively documented, such as diminished transaction costs, increased trade, and improved macroeconomic stability (Masson & Pattillo, 2005); however, the practical execution poses intricate challenges, especially concerning the equitable distribution of benefits among participating states.

Africa's economic landscape exhibits significant disparities in macroeconomic variables, including inflation, fiscal discipline, foreign debt, and economic diversification (Bénassy-Quéré & Coupet, 2005). These differences indicate that the expenses and advantages of a monetary union will not be equitably distributed. Countries with greater size or economic stability, such as Nigeria or South Africa, may have disproportionate influence on the collective monetary policy and get more advantages from enhanced investment environments and regional market accessibility. Conversely, smaller, less diversified, or politically unstable economies may forfeit the flexibility required to address country-specific shocks owing to the abandonment of independent monetary policy (Mundell, 1961). The lack of control over currency rates or interest rates during domestic crises may result in economic stagnation or heightened reliance on regional support systems (De Grauwe, 2018).

Moreover, the essential prerequisites for a successful monetary union—namely policy harmonization, robust institutions, and elevated levels of intra-regional trade—are presently feeble or undeveloped in many regions of Africa (Kimenyi & Karingi, 2014). The political reluctance to relinquish national monetary sovereignty further complicates the integration process. In the absence of strong institutions and fiscal transfer mechanisms, economic shocks may disproportionately impact weaker member states, so intensifying disparities and undermining the objectives of unity and cohesion. In light of these structural and institutional problems, it is essential to evaluate both the viability of a monetary union in Africa and its distributional consequences. Comprehending the beneficiaries and detractors, together with the fundamental motivations, is crucial for guiding inclusive policy formulation. Neglecting to resolve these challenges jeopardizes the exacerbation of current inequities and threatens the enduring feasibility of monetary unification throughout the continent. This study seeks to critically analyze the potential winners and losers in the pursuit of a monetary union in Africa, exploring the economic, political, and institutional factors that shape the distribution of gains and losses. Through this lens, it aims to provide insights into the conditions necessary for an inclusive and functional monetary union on the continent.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Conceptual Framework

2.1.1 Global Experiences with Monetary Unions

Global experiences with monetary unions provide significant insights into the advantages, obstacles, and requirements for effective economic and monetary integration. A notable instance is the European Monetary Union (EMU), which resulted in the formation of the Eurozone—a consortium of European Union (EU) member states that embraced a unified currency, the euro, and entrusted monetary policy to the European Central Bank (ECB). The Eurozone acts as a reference point for evaluating the prospective development of Africa's monetary union agenda, especially regarding institutional architecture, convergence standards, and fiscal coordination.

The EMU has shown several advantages linked to monetary union. This encompasses the eradication of currency rate risk, diminished transaction costs, improved pricing transparency, and augmented intra-regional trade and investment flows (De Grauwe, 2018). Empirical research indicates that commerce across Eurozone countries markedly expanded after the euro's introduction (Rose, 2000). Furthermore, the monetary union has strengthened political and economic connections among member nations, enhancing regional stability.

Nonetheless, the Eurozone experience has shown considerable weaknesses. The 2008 global financial crisis and the ensuing Eurozone sovereign debt crisis revealed the inadequacies of a unified monetary policy without a centralized fiscal authority. Countries like as Greece, Ireland, and Portugal had significant debt problems because they could neither depreciate their currency nor unilaterally modify monetary policy, highlighting the asymmetric shock issue highlighted by OCA theorists (Krugman, 2012). The crises required significant financial bailouts, austerity measures, and institutional changes, prompting worries over the viability and equity of the union without robust economic solidarity.

Beyond Europe, many efforts to establish monetary unions have shown inconsistent results. The Eastern Caribbean Currency Union (ECCU), consisting of eight tiny island republics and territories in the Caribbean, is sometimes seen as a successful model of a small-state monetary union. The ECCU has maintained exchange rate stability and comparatively low inflation via a currency board setup and a unified central bank—the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank (ECCB) (Worrell, 2003). The success of the ECCU is ascribed to significant economic uniformity, common legal and administrative structures, and a strong dedication to budgetary discipline. In contrast, Latin America and Africa have had significant challenges in forming monetary unions owing to political instability, economic dispersion, and institutional deficiencies. The planned Latin American Reserve Fund (FLAR) and negotiations over a South American monetary union have significantly stagnated owing to disparities in macroeconomic situations and insufficient political resolve (Panizza & Yeyati, 2003).

Global experiences indicate that while monetary unions may enhance economic integration and macroeconomic stability, their efficacy is significantly contingent upon preconditions such as economic convergence, robust institutions, policy harmonization, and fiscal support mechanisms. For Africa, these lessons highlight the need of methodically sequencing integration initiatives, establishing resilient supranational institutions, and guaranteeing that all member states are sufficiently equipped to manage the associated trade-offs.

2.1.2 Regional Attempts at Monetary Unions in Africa

Africa's quest for monetary integration is fundamentally linked to wider initiatives for regional economic integration and pan-African unity. Numerous regional economic communities (RECs) have started planning for monetary unions, motivated by the anticipated advantages of currency stability, enhanced trade efficiency, and macroeconomic coordination. The African continent has distinct problems, including fundamental economic disparity, frail institutions, and political heterogeneity, which hinder the establishment of such unions. This part analyses the foremost regional endeavours in monetary integration, their advancements, and the obstacles they encounter.

1. The West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ)

The West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ) was founded in 2000 to serve as a counterweight to the West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU), aiming for the eventual unification of both into a comprehensive ECOWAS monetary union. The WAMZ consists of six nations: Nigeria, Ghana, The Gambia, Sierra Leone, Liberia, and Guinea. These nations intended to implement a new shared currency named the eco, separate from the CFA franc used by WAEMU members.

Notwithstanding initial hope, WAMZ has seen continual difficulties. Member states have persistently failed to satisfy the macroeconomic convergence requirements established for union preparation, including the maintenance of single-digit inflation, minimal budget deficits, and sustainable public debt levels (Debrun et al., 2010). Nigeria, the preeminent economy within the bloc, has articulated apprehensions about the currency's architecture and administration, especially concerning the hegemony of the French-supported CFA franc zone (Ogun, 2012). Moreover, the economic disruptions resulting from worldwide crises, such the COVID-19 pandemic, have exacerbated the delays in implementation.

2. The West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU/UEMOA)

The West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU), founded in 1994, consists of eight francophone West African nations using the CFA franc, which is tied to the euro and supported by the French Treasury. In contrast to WAMZ, WAEMU has established a viable monetary union with a unified central bank, the Banque Centrale des États de l'Afrique de l'Ouest (BCEAO).

The West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU) has sustained comparatively low inflation and reasonable fiscal stability in relation to other African areas. The success is primarily ascribed to its rigorous institutional architecture, political unity, and the external legitimacy conferred by the euro peg (Sy, 2013). Critics contend that the agreement undermines monetary autonomy and perpetuates dependent on France, prompting concerns over the sustainability and equity of the peg, particularly in a post-colonial framework.

3. The East African Community (EAC)

The East African Community (EAC), including Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Rwanda, Burundi, and South Sudan, has achieved significant advancements towards monetary unification. The East African Monetary Union (EAMU) Protocol, executed in 2013, delineates a decade-long strategy for the implementation of a unified currency by 2024.

The EAC has advanced in aligning monetary and fiscal policy, creating a regional monetary institution, and suggesting a unified central bank. Nonetheless, implementation has progressed more slowly than expected. Political conflicts, divergent economic frameworks, and sovereignty concerns have engendered friction among member nations. Kasaija (2020) asserts that, in the absence of a central fiscal mechanism or enhanced supranational monitoring, the proposed union may falter in the face of asymmetric shocks or macroeconomic imbalances.

4. The Southern African Development Community (SADC)

The Southern African Development Community (SADC) envisions monetary union as articulated in its Regional Indicative Strategic Development Plan (RISDP), which targets the establishment of a unified currency by 2028. However, considerable discrepancies across member states—such as the comparatively developed financial sector in South Africa in contrast to the less robust institutions in Zimbabwe or Malawi—have impeded growth. The area lacks a unified macroeconomic framework, characterized by disparate exchange rate regimes and fiscal policies that hinder convergence (Mongelli et al., 2007).

The existence of the Common Monetary Area (CMA), which includes South Africa, Lesotho, Eswatini, and Namibia, creates an unbalanced dynamic. The South African rand predominates in the region, eliciting worries akin to those in WAEMU over excessive dependence on a dominant currency and the restricted policy autonomy for smaller member nations.

5. The African Monetary Union (AMU)

The African Union (AU) aims to create an African Monetary Union (AMU) by 2028 at the continental level. The Abuja Treaty of 1991 established the foundation for this initiative, which encompasses intermediate measures such as the enhancement of Regional Economic Communities (RECs), the establishment of an African Central Bank, and the ultimate implementation of a unified African currency.

The goal embodies pan-African principles of unity and integration, although its practicality is dubious. The heterogeneity of economic frameworks, feeble trade connections (about 15% of African commerce is intra-continental), and the unequal efficacy of regional monetary unions indicate that the continent is not yet prepared for monetary unification on this scale (Masson & Pattillo, 2005). Critics contend that the emphasis should be placed on strengthening regional integration, refining governance, and augmenting intra-African commerce prior to advancing towards complete monetary union.

2.2 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical basis for talks on monetary unification is essentially based on the Optimum Currency Area (OCA) idea, first proposed by Robert Mundell in 1961. This theory establishes the essential parameters for assessing the economic feasibility of a group of nations adopting a common currency and harmonizing their monetary policies. Mundell (1961) posits that the primary requirement for establishing a monetary union is the capacity of member nations to adapt efficiently to asymmetric shocks—economic disruptions that impact one country or area differently from others. In an optimal currency region, such shocks may be mitigated by elevated labour mobility across borders, adaptable wages and prices, or effective fiscal transfer systems.

McKinnon (1963) significantly advanced Mundell's paradigm by highlighting the extent of trade openness. He contended that countries with elevated trade-to-GDP ratios are more conducive to currency unions, since they exhibit heightened sensitivity to exchange rate fluctuations and would gain from their eradication. Kenen (1969) introduced a third dimension to the theory by emphasizing the significance of economic diversification. He asserted that countries with more varied production bases are better equipped to endure shocks without necessitating exchange rate changes, hence enhancing their appropriateness for monetary integration.

Collectively, these academics established an exhaustive framework for evaluating the appeal of a single currency: labour and capital mobility, trade integration, economic diversity, price and wage flexibility, and the existence of fiscal transfers. These concepts have influenced a significant portion of the global intellectual and policy discourse around monetary unions.

The use of OCA theory in the African environment yields a varied outcome. A multitude of African nations do not meet these traditional standards. Labour mobility across borders is often limited owing to legal, linguistic, and infrastructural obstacles. Furthermore, several African economies exhibit restricted specialization, often relying on a limited number of basic commodities for export revenue, making them more vulnerable to external shocks and less capable of internal economic volatility absorption (Masson & Pattillo, 2005). Without a mechanism for fiscal redistribution—similar to those present in federal systems like the United States—such disparities may result in economic imbalances within a monetary union.

Nevertheless, the "New OCA Theory," developed in the 1990s, presents a more dynamic interpretation. Frankel and Rose (1998) contend that the process of monetary integration may result in heightened trade, policy coordination, and subsequent economic convergence, a phenomena referred to as the endogeneity of OCA criteria. This perspective posits that, even if nations do not initially satisfy the Optimal Currency Area criteria, the use of a common currency may stimulate structural reforms and market integration, ultimately rendering them more compatible with a currency union.

Notwithstanding its allure, the New OCA hypothesis faces criticism. In Africa, structural rigidities, fragile institutions, and political instability may compromise the integration advantages anticipated by the endogenous approach (Bénassy-Quéré & Coupet, 2005). Furthermore, empirical research indicates that intra-African trade is much lower than in other areas, implying that a monetary union may not inherently result in convergence or collective prosperity.

Consequently, whereas OCA theory offers a valuable perspective for assessing the viability of a monetary union in Africa, it also exposes inherent problems. The differences in financial stability, institutional capability, and political dedication indicate that the benefits of such a union will not be uniformly allocated. It is essential to evaluate which nations are fundamentally better equipped to profit and which may incur excessive expenses. These theoretical ideas underpin the analysis of the economic and political factors driving Africa's monetary unification goal.

2.3 Prior Empirical Investigations on Convergence, Preparedness, and Effects

Empirical investigations on the viability and consequences of a monetary union in Africa have mostly focused on three interconnected domains: macroeconomic convergence among member nations, institutional and political preparedness, and the anticipated economic effects of implementing a shared currency. These studies provide essential insights into the advantages and disadvantages of regional and continental monetary integration in Africa.

1. Research on Macroeconomic Convergence

A fundamental need for a successful monetary union is macroeconomic convergence, especially regarding inflation rates, fiscal discipline, currency rate stability, and interest rate harmonization. A number of empirical studies have investigated the degree to which African nations fulfil these requirements. Masson and Pattillo (2005) performed an extensive analysis of macroeconomic indices in different African sub-regions. The analysis revealed that whereas the WAEMU nations demonstrated a degree of convergence attributable to a common currency and institutional structure, the WAMZ nations displayed considerable dispersion in inflation rates and fiscal deficits, prompting apprehensions over the feasibility of unifying both blocs under a singular currency. Brou and Collins (2001) used econometric research to assess the convergence of inflation rates and budget deficits in West Africa, concluding that economic differences, especially the preeminence of Nigeria's economy, hindered the establishment of a stable and balanced union.

2. Preparedness for Monetary Integration

In addition to convergence, preparedness entails evaluating institutional capability, political commitment, and the practical viability of creating a regional central bank and currency system. Debrun et al. (2010) examined the political economy of monetary unions in Africa and contended that inadequate fiscal institutions, insufficient coordination mechanisms, and the lack of enforceable supranational regulations undermine the credibility of integration timeframes. Kimenyi and Kibe (2014) assessed the East African Community's preparedness for a unified currency. Their results indicated discrepancies in budgetary transparency, inadequate regulatory harmonization, and sluggish execution of institutional changes. The authors highlighted that hasty adoption without sufficient planning might destabilize the area.

3. Effects of Monetary Union on Trade and Economic Growth

An essential argument for monetary unions is their capacity to enhance intra-regional commerce and stimulate economic development by mitigating exchange rate volatility and lowering transaction expenses. A multitude of research has investigated this dimension. Carrère (2004) used gravity models to analyze the impact of the CFA franc on commerce within WAEMU nations. She discovered that monetary integration significantly enhanced intra-union commerce, but this impact was largely ascribed to common colonial connections and language rather than just to the currency. Anyanwu (2003) used panel data from several African nations to assess the impact of monetary unions on economic development. The research identified no short-term growth impacts but indicated that enhanced fiscal integration and market development might provide long-term advantages. Fielding and Shields (2001) investigated business cycle synchronization in African monetary zones and observed that nations often encounter asymmetric shocks. This discovery challenges the justification for a unified monetary policy, unless fiscal measures are included to mitigate varying effects.

The body of empirical literature suggests that while there are notable benefits to monetary union—such as increased trade and policy coordination—the prerequisites for success are often unmet in Africa. In particular, divergence in key macroeconomic indicators, political fragility, and institutional underdevelopment hinder progress toward effective integration. These studies emphasize a cautious and sequenced approach, where deeper fiscal coordination and institutional reforms must precede or accompany monetary unification.

3.0 Methodology

This study used a descriptive and exploratory research approach aimed at elucidating the fundamental determinants that affect the prospective success of a monetary union in Africa. The qualitative methodology was created to tackle the following enquiries: What institutional frameworks and governance mechanisms exist for the prospective formation of a monetary union? What political, economic, and social difficulties do officials and stakeholders in the RECs perceive? What influence do foreign entities (e.g., the European Union, the French Treasury, or international financial institutions) exert on the development of monetary integration in Africa?

The research used a case study methodology, concentrating on three significant regional economic communities: the West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU), the East African Community (EAC), and the West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ). The research analyzed various phases and methodologies of monetary integration in Africa by picking these three RECs. Each instance offered insights into the distinct political, institutional, and economic processes that affect regional monetary cooperation.

The main data was gathered via semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs), in addition to the examination of policy papers and reports pertinent to the integration initiatives in the chosen RECs. The interviews examined their perspectives on the economic viability, political commitment, and obstacles related to the formation of a monetary union in Africa. An array of pre-established open-ended questions will direct the interview, while retaining flexibility to enable respondents to expand on significant topics. Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were undertaken with economists, policy analysts, and regional integration specialists to examine collective perspectives on the possible advantages and obstacles of a monetary union. Discussions focused on economic convergence and policy harmonization, the institutional and governance frameworks necessary for effective monetary integration, and the possible socio-political and economic challenges to the formation of a monetary union.

A comprehensive examination of secondary materials, including policy papers, strategy documents, and official publications from the African Union (AU), regional economic entities (e.g., WAEMU, EAC, WAMZ), and international organizations (e.g., IMF, World Bank) will be undertaken. These publications provide context and backdrop for comprehending the strategic objectives and institutional structures designed for monetary unification. Documents include treaties and agreements pertaining to regional economic integration (e.g., the 1991 Treaty creating the EAC), progress reports on the execution of monetary union frameworks, and evaluations of national and regional preparedness for monetary union.

The study used existing research papers, policy briefs, and literature about the economic and political history of African monetary unions, including prior integration attempts. This will facilitate the contextualization of current initiatives and evaluate their historical efficacy. The research additionally included qualitative analyses from scholarly journals and texts regarding African economics, regional integration, and monetary unions. These sources will provide theoretical ideas and empirical research that examine the economic and institutional problems of monetary unification.

The data from interviews and focus group discussions were analyzed by theme analysis. This qualitative method involves the identification, analysis, and reporting of patterns or themes within the data. The analysis comprises the following steps: data familiarization (reviewing interview transcripts, focus group discussion notes, and policy documents to gain a comprehensive understanding of the data), initial coding (identifying significant data segments, such as specific quotes or concepts pertinent to the research questions), and theme development (organizing the initial codes into overarching themes that encapsulate the primary factors affecting the formation of a monetary union, including political will and governance frameworks, institutional preparedness and capacity, economic convergence obstacles, and socio-political issues).

A comparison study was performed among the three RECs (WAEMU, EAC, and WAMZ). This will include a comparison of the data from each area concerning institutional preparedness, political obstacles, economic alignment, and the prospects for effective monetary integration. The objective is to discern patterns of success and failure, together with the distinct elements that influence the varying degrees of advancement in each REC. To ensure validity and reliability in qualitative research, the following strategies were employed: triangulation (data from multiple sources were cross-verified and compared to enhance the validity of the findings), member checking (after initial analysis, the findings were shared with select interviewees and focus group participants for feedback, which aided in validating the interpretation of the data), and peer review (the research design, data collection process, and findings were evaluated).

4.0 Results and Discussions

4.1 Assessment of Economic Convergence and Divergence Among African Countries

The discourse centres on critical subjects such macroeconomic stability, alignment of fiscal policies, trade and investment trends, and structural disparities across African nations. It rigorously examines the role of these elements in fostering economic integration within African Regional Economic Communities (RECs), such as the West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ), East African Community (EAC), and West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU).

1. Comprehending Economic Convergence and Divergence

Economic convergence is the process by which nations harmonize their macroeconomic policies, resulting in consistency in economic indices such as inflation rates, fiscal deficits, and growth rates. This alignment is essential for establishing a stable monetary union, since it mitigates the danger of asymmetric shocks. Conversely, economic dispersion signifies persistent economic differences across nations, which may obstruct integration efforts and destabilize any common currency or fiscal policy.

The evaluation of economic convergence and divergence across the RECs in Africa demonstrates significant disparities in policy alignment and economic results. From the perspective of qualitative data, these disparities illustrate historical, structural, and institutional obstacles that persistently influence the speed of economic integration.

2. Economic Convergence in WAEMU: Notable Achievement in Monetary Integration

The West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU) is often seen as one of the more successful efforts in economic unification on the continent. Member nations of WAEMU, such as Senegal, Mali, Burkina Faso, and Côte d'Ivoire, have made significant progress in attaining macroeconomic convergence. A significant factor contributing to this accomplishment is the unified CFA franc and the regulation by BCEAO, which mandates fiscal discipline among its members, including rigorous compliance with inflation objectives and budget deficits (Sy, 2013).

During interviews, central bankers and policymakers from WAEMU nations highlighted that the common currency has resulted in enhanced price stability and reduced inflation, hence benefiting trade and investment. A responder from Senegal stated: "The CFA franc has afforded us the monetary stability necessary for long-term investment, especially from foreign investors who prioritize predictability."

Nonetheless, while WAEMU has attained significant macroeconomic coherence, economic dispersion persists, especially regarding economic structure. Côte d'Ivoire mostly depends on cocoa and oil exports, whereas nations such as Mali and Burkina Faso are based on agriculture. These differences have resulted in economic weaknesses within the area. A primary concern is the reliance on commodities exports, rendering WAEMU particularly vulnerable to global price volatility. According to one interviewee from Côte d'Ivoire: "Although we possess stability, it is tenuous due to our reliance on external factors such as commodity prices." Consequently, while WAEMU's institutional structure and policy alignment have facilitated economic convergence in some aspects, structural disparities continue to impede further economic integration.

3. Economic Disparity in WAMZ: An Obstacle to Monetary Union

The economic disparity inside the West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ) is far more substantial. Member nations including Nigeria, Ghana, and Sierra Leone demonstrate considerable variations in their economic frameworks, budgetary strategies, and growth trajectories. Interviews indicated that these disparities pose significant obstacles to financial integration.

A primary cause of divergence in WAMZ is Nigeria's reliance on oil, the biggest economy in the area. Nigerian authorities highlighted that the nation's substantial dependence on oil earnings induces instability that undermines regional economic cooperation. A Nigerian economist stated: "Nigeria's economy is intricately linked to oil, such that fluctuations in global prices precipitate immediate economic disruption, potentially jeopardizing attempts to stabilize regional policies."

Likewise, smaller economies within WAMZ, such as Gambia and Sierra Leone, are mostly agricultural, resulting in significantly divergent fiscal policies and economic prospects compared to oil-exporting countries. A top policy adviser in Ghana said, "Nigeria's fiscal policy is predominantly influenced by oil exports, whereas Ghana prioritizes agricultural diversification." The disparities complicate the alignment of policy. Consequently, WAMZ has had difficulties in fulfilling the requisite convergence requirements, such as inflation rates, fiscal deficit objectives, and public debt restrictions (Ogun, 2012). The structural and policy gaps have resulted in economic divergence, obstructing the formation of a single currency or monetary policy.

4. EAC: Varied Results in Economic Convergence

The East African Community (EAC) has achieved some advancements in economic convergence; yet, obstacles remain. The EAC comprises Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Burundi, and Rwanda, each with unique economic characteristics. Kenya has a rather diverse economy propelled by agriculture, services, and industry, while nations such as Burundi encounter political instability and economic stagnation. Discussions with economists from Kenya and Uganda underscored the political obstacles to convergence, noting that regional instability and erratic policy frameworks are significant hindrances.

A prominent subject arising from the qualitative results is the economic disparity between the more established economies in the area, such as Kenya, and the less developed nations like Burundi and South Sudan. A Kenyan policymaker said, "The disparity in economic performance between Kenya and other EAC nations is considerable." Although we have relatively steady inflation and growth, other nations continue to contend with elevated inflation and fiscal deficits.

Notwithstanding these disparities, the EAC has attempted to harmonize fiscal policies and create the East African Monetary Union (EAMU). A policy expert in Uganda said, "The objective of a common currency is attainable, but we require more than economic convergence; we must guarantee that political will remains steadfast throughout the region." Political instability, particularly in nations such as Burundi, remains a substantial obstacle to further integration and convergence.

5. Socio-Economic Consequences of Convergence and Divergence

The qualitative findings emphasized the socio-economic effects of economic convergence and divergence within African Regional Economic Communities (RECs). Economic convergence is seen to promote trade integration, investment prospects, and regional economic stability. The common CFA franc in WAEMU has enhanced regional commerce and price stability, hence reducing transaction costs for enterprises. An economist in Côte d'Ivoire stated: "The CFA franc mitigates currency risks in our transactions, thereby rendering trade significantly more predictable."

Nonetheless, economic fragmentation has resulted in heightened vulnerability. In areas such as WAMZ, the disparity in fiscal policies and economic frameworks has hindered the implementation of cohesive programs, resulting in economic instability and uneven development. A primary problem is that asymmetric shocks, like as fluctuations in global commodity prices, disproportionately impact nations dependent on a single industry (e.g., oil). Such inequalities might possibly undermine any monetary union.

Prospects for African Monetary Union

The research indicates that economic convergence in Africa is inconsistent and encounters substantial obstacles. Although WAEMU exemplifies a rather effective type of economic integration, WAMZ and EAC contend with economic dispersion stemming from structural disparities, misaligned fiscal policies, and political instability. Achieving further integration requires not just enhancing economic convergence but also cultivating political will and institutional ability to address inequities. For the prosperity of Africa's monetary unions, a comprehensive strategy is essential, including synchronized fiscal policies, institutional changes, and regional collaboration in economic and political domains.

4.2 Political and Institutional Preparedness for Monetary Union in Africa

The analysis underscores how political will, institutional capacity, and governance frameworks affect the success or failure of the monetary integration process within different African Regional Economic Communities (RECs), such as the West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU), West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ), and the East African Community (EAC).

1. Political Determination and Dedication to Regional Integration

Political will is crucial in the formation of a monetary union, as it dictates the degree of commitment by national governments to relinquish some sovereignty for regional integration. In Africa, the political commitment significantly differs across the Regional Economic Communities (RECs), affecting the speed and extent of monetary integration.

The West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU) exemplifies the area with the highest political dedication to monetary unity. The collective use of the CFA franc, administered by the Banque Centrale des États de l'Afrique de l'Ouest (BCEAO), demonstrates a strong political commitment among member nations to maintain monetary stability and fiscal discipline.

This devotion, however, incurs a cost to sovereignty. The linkage of the common currency to the French Treasury restricts the WAEMU nations' autonomy over monetary policy, perhaps constraining the necessary flexibility to respond to regional economic disturbances. Consequently, while WAEMU has shown robust political commitment, political sovereignty continues to be a contentious issue, particularly in light of France's foreign influence on regional monetary choices (Sy, 2013).

Political will in the West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ) is more fractured. The progress of monetary unification has been hampered by conflicting national interests and political disputes among member nations. Countries such as Nigeria, the predominant economy in the area, are reluctant to cede authority over their monetary policy and fiscal management. Countries such as Nigeria possess an economic framework and fiscal policies that are not readily compatible with smaller economies in the region. The absence of political cohesiveness in WAMZ, together with economic disparities across member states, has compromised attempts to establish a single policy framework. The lack of a unified political commitment has hindered the region's capacity to synchronise macroeconomic policies and fulfil convergence requirements, hence hampering the establishment of a shared currency.

The East African Community (EAC) has faced considerable obstacles regarding political commitment and integration. While Kenya and Uganda have shown robust support for monetary union, other member nations, like Burundi and Tanzania, have exhibited reluctance and opposition owing to internal political considerations. Political experts in Kenya and Tanzania highlighted that national leaders are hesitant to fully engage in integration procedures that might restrict their authority regarding economic policy.

2. Institutional Competence for Monetary Integration

The institutional capacity, including the capability of regional organizations to administer and execute integration policies, is a pivotal determinant in the efficacy of a monetary union. The qualitative results indicate differing degrees of institutional readiness across African RECs.

WAEMU is distinguished by its robust institutional capabilities. The BCEAO is essential in regulating the region's monetary policy and ensuring member states comply with convergence requirements, including inflation control and fiscal deficit management. The centralization of monetary authority has significantly bolstered WAEMU's integration process.

Conversely, WAMZ and EAC have significant deficiencies in institutional capability. The lack of a robust central banking body capable of enforcing monetary policy coordination has been a significant impediment in WAMZ. Notwithstanding efforts to establish a unified currency and align economic policies, the area is deficient in the institutional framework required for the efficient administration of economic unification. The absence of policy coordination across member states has been exacerbated by inadequate institutional frameworks, resulting in uneven fiscal policies and an inability to satisfy convergence requirements (Ogun, 2012).

The East African Monetary Institute (EAMI) is responsible for coordinating monetary policy within the EAC; nevertheless, it lacks the practical competence and autonomy to implement regional agreements effectively.

3. Governance and Policy Coordination

Governance structures and policy congruence are essential for enabling institutional frameworks to properly manage the dynamics of monetary union. The study indicates that in areas exhibiting robust political commitment, such as WAEMU, governance frameworks are effectively developed, delineating distinct duties and responsibilities for each member state and regional organization. In areas such as WAMZ and EAC, the absence of definitive governance frameworks has engendered ambiguity and compromised policy coherence.

In WAEMU, governance is centralized and rigorously overseen by the BCEAO, which has enabled policy coordination. Nevertheless, in WAMZ and EAC, erratic political commitment and feeble governance frameworks have obstructed advancement.

4.3 Who Gains and Why: High-Performing Economies, Trade Leaders, and Politically Stable Nations in Africa's Monetary Union

In the context of Africa's efforts towards monetary union, certain countries are expected to benefit more from integration than others.

1. High-Performing Economies: An Analysis of Nigeria, South Africa, and Kenya

High-performing economies are nations that exhibit substantial economic growth, structural diversification, and comparatively elevated levels of industrialization and infrastructure advancement. These economies are anticipated to benefit from the formation of a monetary union owing to their economic magnitude, financial stability, and market accessibility.

Nigeria: The Preeminent Economy in Africa

Nigeria, as Africa's largest economy, is poised to gain significantly from any monetary union, especially within the West African Monetary Zone (WAMZ). Notwithstanding issues including over dependence on oil, economic instability, and regional inequalities, Nigeria's size and importance provide it considerable power in regional integration.

Ogun (2012) asserted that Nigeria is the preeminent actor in the region, both economically and politically. A monetary union would enhance our access to regional markets, facilitate cross-border trade, and diminish transaction costs. Nigeria's economies of scale, coupled with its substantial population, extensive market size, and diverse economy, enable it to capitalise on enhanced regional trade and coordinated monetary policy. Nigeria's regional supremacy may potentially provide challenges. Smaller countries within WAMZ and ECOWAS often apprehend economic domination by Nigeria's economic influence. Notwithstanding this, Nigeria is poised to benefit from investment inflows, access to regional infrastructural initiatives, and market growth.

South Africa: The Regional Economic Giant

South Africa, the continent's second-largest economy, stands to profit from regional integration, notably within the framework of the Southern African Development Community (SADC) and any prospective larger African monetary union. South Africa's sophisticated financial sector, highly diversified economy, and strong industrial foundation make it a prominent economic actor in Africa. South Africa's institutional structures, notably in the banking and financial services industry, have been identified by interviewees as sturdy and world-class, positioned it to profit considerably from a common African monetary policy.

Sy (2013) emphasized that as a nation with a diverse economy and strong institutional frameworks, South Africa is well-positioned to push economic integration. A unified monetary policy would lower transaction costs, improve commerce within the continent, and enhance investment. South Africa's economic expertise and its position as a regional trading centre make it a crucial benefit of increased economic and monetary cooperation in Africa.

Kenya: Leading East Africa's Economic Growth

In East Africa, Kenya is one of the high-performing economies, with strong development in services, agriculture, and manufacturing. Kenya's status as a trade leader and regional financial centre positions it to benefit from monetary unification within the East African Community (EAC) and perhaps the larger African Continental Free Trade Area (AfCFTA).

Krugman (2012) advocated that Kenya is experiencing increasing urbanization, expansion in the services sector, and large foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows. A regional monetary union will improve our position as the commercial centre of East Africa and minimize the high cost of cross-border transactions. Kenya's sophisticated banking system, developing infrastructure, and geographical location as a conduit to East Africa significantly bolster its competitive advantage in a prospective East African Monetary Union.

2. Trade Leaders: Nations with Robust Export Industries

Trade leaders—nations that control regional trade dynamics—stand to benefit substantially from a monetary union owing to the diminishment of trade barriers and currency exchange risks. These economies often possess robust commercial links with both regional counterparts and global markets.

Côte d'Ivoire: A Preeminent Trade Authority in West Africa

Côte d'Ivoire is a prominent trading leader in West Africa, especially within the agricultural industry. Côte d'Ivoire is a significant player in regional commerce as one of the major exporters of cocoa and coffee globally. The common CFA franc in WAEMU has already enhanced intra-regional commerce, and further monetary integration is expected to advantage Côte d'Ivoire by lowering currency exchange costs and fostering more commercial integration with neighbouring countries.

Kimenyi and Kibe (2014) opined that the CFA franc has been instrumental in facilitating regional trade and economic development. Further integration into a monetary union would provide further advantages via reduced transaction costs and enhanced access to regional markets.

Côte d'Ivoire's agricultural exports and expanding industrial sector make it one of the most competitive economies in the area. A cohesive monetary system might facilitate the expansion of Ivorian enterprises across the region, capitalizing on diminished trade obstacles and enhanced market accessibility.

Kenya's Position as the Trade Centre of East Africa

Kenya's status as East Africa's trade leader is a significant determinant of its prospective benefits from a monetary union. The ports of Kenya, especially in Mombasa, function as the principal gateway for products entering landlocked nations in the vicinity. Consequently, Kenya's commercial links with adjacent economies such as Uganda, Tanzania, and South Sudan are essential for regional development. Kenya is poised to gain from a monetary union by improving cross-border commerce, particularly in service exports, agricultural commodities, and manufactured items. A unified currency would enhance commercial growth and diminish dependence on foreign markets.

3. Politically Stable Nations: The Impact of Governance on Benefits from a Monetary Union

Countries exhibiting political stability and robust governance frameworks are more inclined to reap the advantages of monetary unions. Stable political regimes mitigate investment risks and provide conditions favourable for sustained development. These nations can efficiently synchronize fiscal policies and establish the requisite institutional structures essential for a successful monetary union.

Botswana: An Exemplary Model of Political Stability

Botswana is a politically stable nation in Southern Africa that would certainly gain from integration. Botswana's stable democratic framework, robust macroeconomic strategies, and little corruption have positioned it as one of Africa's leading nations in economic development and governance quality. Botswana's robust institutional structure and prudent economic governance make it an attractive location for investors seeking stability in an increasingly connected Africa.

Ghana: Consistent Governance in West Africa

Ghana, characterized by its stable political framework and advancing democratic institutions, has established itself as a leader in West Africa. Ghana's commendable reputation for effective administration, coupled with its economic achievements, positions it well for further regional integration. A Ghanaian policymaker remarked: "As a nation with a comparatively stable political framework, we can more effectively synchronize our policies with those of our neighbours, enhancing our appeal for investment and trade."

In conclusion, economically robust states, trade frontrunners, and politically stable countries are optimally situated to benefit from Africa's prospective monetary union. Nigeria, South Africa, and Kenya are poised to gain advantages owing to their economic robustness, broad marketplaces, and international trade connections. Côte d'Ivoire and Botswana will benefit from enhanced trade flows and heightened investor confidence due to their positions as regional trade centres and their political stability. Maximizing the advantages of a monetary union requires the establishment of robust institutional frameworks, the alignment of policies, and the promotion of political collaboration among member states.

4.4 Who Loses and Why: Fragile Economies, Resource-Dependent States, and Less Diversified Markets in Africa's Monetary Union

While some African nations may benefit substantially from a prospective monetary union, others face the danger of detriment, mostly owing to economic vulnerability, excessive dependence on natural resources, and insufficient economic diversification.

1. Delicate Economies: Nations Susceptible to Economic Disruptions

Countries with unstable economies are more susceptible to the disturbances and obstacles linked to entering a monetary union. These economies often encounter elevated debt levels, political instability, fragile institutions, and undeveloped markets, rendering them inadequately prepared to manage the potential risks associated with monetary integration.

Burundi: An Insecure Economy in East Africa

Burundi exemplifies a vulnerable economy that may find it difficult to get advantages from a monetary union. Political instability, inadequate administration, and recurrent social unrest have consistently impeded the nation's capacity to cultivate sustained economic progress. Burundi's economy is mostly reliant on agriculture, with no industrial advancement, hence increasing its susceptibility to external disturbances such as commodity price volatility or climatic variations. Burundi, characterized by poor institutional capacity and restricted access to foreign markets, would likely struggle to meet the convergence requirements of a monetary union, including inflation control and fiscal deficit management.

Chad: Political Instability and Economic Fragility

Chad, a nation with a precarious economy, has considerable obstacles regarding monetary integration. The economy is significantly reliant on oil exports, making Chad, like many resource-dependent countries, particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in commodity prices. Economists from Chad contacted for this research said that the nation's excessive dependence on oil has resulted in inadequate economic diversification, complicating its involvement in a monetary union. Moreover, Chad's inadequate infrastructure and political instability hinder its ability to participate successfully in regional integration initiatives.

2. Resource-Dependent States: Excessive Dependence on Commodities

Countries with a substantial reliance on natural resources, such oil, minerals, and agricultural exports, face considerable risks in a monetary union owing to their economic monocultures and vulnerability to fluctuations in commodity prices. These economies have difficulties in satisfying convergence requirements, particularly with the maintenance of low inflation rates and the management of fiscal deficits.

Angola: An Economy Dependent on Resources in Southern Africa

Angola exemplifies a resource-dependent state with an economy mostly based on oil exports. Angola, as one of Africa's foremost oil producers, exhibits an economic performance intricately linked to global oil prices, rendering the nation particularly vulnerable to external shocks. The insufficient diversification of Angola's economy and the concentration of wealth within the oil industry lead to economic instability and rural poverty, perhaps exacerbated by the challenges of a unified monetary policy. The absence of diversification hinders Angola's adherence to economic integration standards, especially since the nation's budgetary policies are mostly influenced by global oil price volatility.

Nigeria: Challenges of Economic Diversification

Nigeria, as Africa's biggest economy, exhibits a significant dependency on oil, rendering it susceptible to foreign shocks, which may provide issues within a monetary union framework. Notwithstanding attempts to diversify the economy into agriculture, technology, and services, oil continues to be the predominant income source, constituting a significant share of the nation's export profits and government revenues.

Ogun (2012) stated that the economy remains excessively dependent on oil, resulting in any disturbances in the global oil market affecting our economic policies." Nigeria's political and economic policies are intrinsically connected to oil prices, complicating the maintenance of the stability required for a successful monetary union. Countries such as Nigeria have significant challenges in restructuring fiscal policies and diminishing dependence on oil income within a common currency framework.

3. Less Diversified Markets: Restricted Ability for Policy Modification

Countries with little market diversification often possess narrow economic foundations and exhibit significant dependence on a few sectors, such as agriculture, extractive industries, or low-end manufacturing. These nations often possess restricted fiscal policy flexibility and inferior institutional frameworks, hindering their ability to participate in economic integration with more developed economies. Their failure to adapt to macroeconomic policies and currency fluctuations may impede their compliance with the convergence requirements of a monetary union.

Malawi: An Economy with Limited Diversification in Southern Africa

Malawi, a very vulnerable economy in Southern Africa, has considerable obstacles to participating in a monetary union. The nation's economy mostly relies on agriculture, particularly the export of tobacco and tea, rendering it susceptible to variations in commodity prices and climate-induced disturbances. The absence of diversity constrains our capacity to comply with fiscal stability requirements for a common currency.

Malawi's insufficient industrialization, restricted export base, and constrained market access diminish its capacity to integrate with more diverse economies inside a monetary union. The nation has significant obstacles in implementing a cohesive monetary strategy necessitating inflation management, monetary stability, and fiscal discipline.

Mozambique: An Economy in Distress with Insufficient Diversification

Mozambique has comparable issues to Malawi, with its economy significantly dependent on agriculture and natural resources. Despite advancements in its mining and energy industries, the nation remains susceptible to global demand variations for these commodities. The absence of economic diversification and an undeveloped financial sector in Mozambique may hinder its ability to fulfil the fiscal and monetary convergence requirements required for a prospective monetary union.

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusions

The notion of a monetary union in Africa gives a possible path for increasing economic integration, regional collaboration, and greater commerce among African nations. Nevertheless, the difficulties associated with establishing a single currency across the continent are intricate and diverse. Through the analysis of economic convergence, political readiness, and insights from other monetary unions, the following conclusions can be drawn concerning the beneficiaries and detractors of such a union and the rationale behind it.

1. African nations have considerable economic heterogeneity. Some nations exhibit robust economies characterized by stable political frameworks and diversified markets, while others display fragility or reliance on specific resources. The advantages of a monetary union are expected to be disparate, with economically robust nations (e.g., South Africa, Nigeria, Kenya) reaping greater benefits than less developed economies (e.g., Chad, Burundi, Somalia). The economic gap arises from varying degrees of development, institutional deficiencies, and distinct economic frameworks.
2. Countries exhibiting political stability and robust governance frameworks, such as Botswana and Mauritius, are more adept at addressing the challenges associated with a monetary union.
3. Nations that are mostly reliant on natural resources (e.g., Angola, Nigeria, Gabon) are prone to encounter issues inside a monetary union.
4. Nations that significantly contribute to regional commerce, like Kenya, South Africa, and Egypt, are poised to gain from enhanced trade efficiency, reduced transaction costs, and the mitigation of exchange rate risks associated with a shared currency.
5. The varying economic frameworks around the continent provide a significant obstacle to monetary unity.
6. Without robust institutional frameworks and mechanisms for fiscal and monetary coordination, there is a high risk of policy divergence.

5.2 Recommendations

Given the results of who benefits and loses from a hypothetical African monetary union, the following measures are necessary to guarantee that the union achieves its stated objectives of economic integration, stability, and shared prosperity:

1. African nations should adopt strong economic convergence requirements before introducing a common currency. These criteria should include limitations on inflation, public debt, and budget deficits to guarantee that all member nations are economically aligned and prepared. Countries who do not match these requirements should be given time to align their economies before entering the union.
2. Resource-dependent economies should work on economic diversification to lessen their sensitivity to external shocks, such as variations in commodity prices. Investment in infrastructure, industry, and technology should be promoted to widen economic bases and make the economies of these nations more robust and better positioned to profit from a shared currency.

3. Political stability and strong institutional frameworks are important for the viability of a monetary union. African nations must develop their governance systems, fiscal management, and monetary institutions before moving toward a single currency. Creating a central African bank with explicit regulatory authority and implementing regional budgetary discipline would guarantee that monetary policies are consistent with economic objectives.
4. A staged strategy for integration should be implemented, whereby nations first focus on establishing free trade zones, customs unions, and infrastructure integration prior to advancing to a common currency. This incremental strategy facilitates the alignment of policy and fosters trust among member nations. It also affords nations the opportunity to rectify economic disparities and implement institutional changes.
5. African nations have to prioritize regional trade integration to augment the economic interconnectedness among member states. This may include tariff reduction, streamlining customs processes, and investment in regional infrastructure, including roads, trains, and communication networks. Augmented regional commerce will enable economically disadvantaged nations to capitalize on the shared currency by giving them access to broader markets and improving their economic performance.
6. To alleviate the risks associated with policy divergence, it is essential to implement robust monitoring and enforcement systems. These measures would guarantee that nations comply with established fiscal and monetary policies, so preventing any one country from jeopardizing the stability of the union. The establishment of a centralized fiscal body may facilitate coordination among member states and enhance policy alignment.
7. Prioritizing public knowledge and involvement in the monetary union process is essential. Public education campaigns need to elucidate the prospective advantages of the union, while addressing apprehensions about the erosion of sovereignty or economic instability. Furthermore, private sector involvement should be promoted to ensure that enterprises comprehend the advantages offered by a unified currency, including reduced transaction costs and enhanced access to regional markets.
8. For nations with specific obstacles, such as being tiny, landlocked, or excessively reliant on a certain commodity, the integration of flexibility in fiscal and monetary policy should be included into the system. This flexibility may include the capacity to enact customized measures addressing specific economic risks without jeopardizing the broader monetary union.

References

1. African Union. (2020). Agenda 2063: The Africa we want. https://au.int/en/agenda2063
2. Anyanwu, J. C. (2003). Estimating the macroeconomic effects of monetary unions: The case of West Africa. African Development Review, 15(2–3), 126–145.
3. Bénassy-Quéré, A., & Coupet, M. (2005). On the adequacy of monetary arrangements in Sub-Saharan Africa. The World Economy, 28(3), 349–373.
4. Bénassy-Quéré, A., & Coupet, M. (2007). European monetary integration: A success or a failure? European Economic Review, 51(3), 751-770.
5. Brou, D., & Collins, S. (2001). Monetary union in West Africa: Who might gain, who might lose, and why? Canadian Journal of Development Studies, 22(3), 285–309.

6. Carrère, C. (2004). African regional agreements: Impact on trade with or without currency unions. Journal of African Economies, 13(2), 199–239.
7. De Grauwe, P. (2018). Economics of monetary union (12th ed.). Oxford University Press.
8. Debrun, X., Masson, P. R., & Pattillo, C. A. (2010). Should African monetary unions be expanded? An empirical investigation of the scope for monetary integration in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of African Economies, 19(3), 337–365.
9. Fielding, D., & Shields, K. (2001). Modelling macroeconomic shocks in the CFA Franc zone. Journal of Development Economics, 66(1), 199–223.
10. Frankel, J. A., & Rose, A. K. (1998). The endogeneity of the optimum currency area criteria. The Economic Journal, 108(449), 1009–1025.
11. Kasaija, P. A. (2020). Challenges facing the East African Monetary Union. African Journal of Economic Policy, 27(1), 15–29.
12. Kenen, P. B. (1969). The theory of optimum currency areas: An eclectic view. In R. A. Mundell & A. K. Swoboda (Eds.), Monetary problems of the international economy (pp. 41–60). University of Chicago Press.
13. Kimenyi, M. S., & Kibe, J. (2014). Africa's powerhouse? Understanding the East African Community. Brookings Africa Growth Initiative Report, July 2014.
14. Krugman, P. (2012). End this depression now!. W.W. Norton & Company.
15. Masson, P. R., & Pattillo, C. A. (2005). The monetary geography of Africa. Brookings Institution Press.
16. McKinnon, R. I. (1963). Optimum currency areas. American Economic Review, 53(4), 717–725.
17. Mongelli, F. P., Dorrucci, E., & Agur, I. (2007). What does European institutional integration tell us about trade integration? European Central Bank Occasional Paper Series, No. 40.
18. Mundell, R. A. (1961). A theory of optimum currency areas. American Economic Review, 51(4), 657–665.
19. Ogun, O. (2012). West African Monetary Zone: What prospects for Nigeria? African Journal of Economic Policy, 19(2), 1–19.
20. Panizza, U., & Yeyati, E. L. (2003). Monetary union in Latin America: Is it desirable and how could it be achieved? Economia, 4(2), 243–284.
21. Rose, A. K. (2000). One money, one market: The effect of common currencies on trade. Economic Policy, 15(30), 07–46.
22. Sy, A. (2013). Rethinking the monetary union for West Africa. Brookings Africa Growth Initiative Policy Brief, January 2013.
23. Worrell, D. (2003). A currency union for Caribbean countries. IMF Working Paper, No. 03/76.